



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,050	02/20/2002	David W. Osborne	359872001400	2420
21186	7590	05/29/2007	EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1615				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/29/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/081,050	OSBORNE, DAVID W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4, 7-22 and 25.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: _____.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: in response to the argument that there was no actual use of dapsone by Osborne for treating acne, Osborne clearly emphasizes that the invention is directed to treat acne (C 3, L 12-16). Further, Osborne references state that in order to treat inflammatory lesions, the active agent has to penetrate past the stratum corneum (C2, L 5-15) and teaches a composition that contains active agent, dapsone, such that one form of dapsone is able to cross stratum corneum to treat inflammation and another form of dapsone (in the same composition) that does not cross stratum corneum. Thus, the composition of Osborne provides treatment for both inflammatory acne and non-inflammatory acne. In response to the argument that in treating inflammatory acne, one does not smear the medication over the entire surface of the skin, applicants have neither provided evidence that one does not specifically choose inflammatory acne nor instant claims recite exclusion of inflammatory acne. It is implicit that inflammatory and non-inflammatory lesions co-exist and prior art teaches same compound for the same condition (acne), and furthermore, teaches one part of the composition that is exclusively for crossing stratum corneum and another for above stratum corneum. Accordingly, if applicants' assertion that instant invention is effective for treating acne then for the same reason the formulation of Osborne references is inherently effective. A close review of the instant specification (page 5, 0020) shows that instant composition possess the same mechanism as that described in the cited references i.e., partitioning out of the microparticulate dapsone out of the stratum corneum, thus providing a reservoir capacity in the supra corneum zone (above stratum corneum). Thus, as explained in the previous action, the ability to treat non-inflammatory lesions of acne, is inherent to the composition of Osborne. Examiner herewith confirms that Osborne 6,060,085 has been inadvertently referred to as '050 or '060 and in fact should read '085. For claims 8-12, 15-19 and 22, applicants' arguments are not persuasive because even though the claims are directed to a method, the active agent responsible for acne treatment is taught by Osborne references and it is for the form of the composition i.e., lotions, sprays etc., that the teachings of singleton has been combined.



LAKSHMI S. CHANNAVAJJALA
PRIMARY EXAMINER